Stereocontrolled Fluorobenzylation of Vinyl Sulfones and α , β -Unsaturated Esters Mediated by a Remote Sulfinyl Group. Synthesis of Functionalized Enantiomerically Pure Benzylic Fluorides

José Luis García Ruano,* José Antonio Fernández-Salas, and M. Carmen Maestro*

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, [Sp](#page-6-0)ain

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [A sulfinyl gro](#page-6-0)up in an ortho position confers enough chemical and configurational stability to monofluorobenzylcarbanions to evolve in a completely stereoselective way in their reactions with $β$ -substituted vinyl sulfones and α , β -unsaturated esters. Reactions afford easily separable mixtures of two epimers differing in the configuration of the

center derived from the Michael acceptor (up to 98% de). They can be easily converted into enantiomerically pure γ-fluorinated γ -phenylsulfones and γ -phenylesters bearing two chiral centers.

■ INTRODUCTION

A fluorine atom, because of its high electronegativity and small size, is capable of conferring exceptional chemical and biological properties to the organic molecules to which it is bonded.¹ As a consequence, even though fluorinated compounds occur rarely in nature, the presence of fluorine atoms in biologically [a](#page-6-0)ctive molecules² confers them important roles in pharmaceutical³ and agrochemical research.⁴ Moreover, fluorine-containing compoun[d](#page-6-0)s have been employed as liquid crystals, dye[s,](#page-7-0) surfactants, plastics, elastom[er](#page-7-0)s, membranes, and many other materials⁵ and as tracers for positron emission tomography.⁶ All of these applications have required the development of syntheti[c m](#page-7-0)ethodologies able to introduce fluorinated moi[et](#page-7-0)ies, many times in an asymmetric manner.⁷

Whereas the chemistry of trifluoro- and difluoroalkyl derivatives has been studied in some [d](#page-7-0)etail, $7a,8$ the synthesis and reactivity of the corresponding monofluoroalkyl derivatives are only recently emerging and still remain [a ch](#page-7-0)allenging task nowadays. Two main strategies have been developed for preparing monofluoroalkyl derivatives. The first one, involving the creation of the C−F bonds from C−H or C−Y bonds by using electrophilic fluorination reagents,^{7d,9} has some disadvantages associated with the use of complex and potentially toxic reagents. The second strategy, based [on](#page-7-0) the use of monofluorinated carbanions as nucleophiles, has emerged as the preferred one in past years, especially from the contributions of Prakash and Olah,¹⁰ Hu,¹¹ and Shibata and Toru.¹² However, the low thermal stability of fluorinated carbanions determines that these strategi[es](#page-7-0) nee[d t](#page-7-0)o incorporate electron-[wi](#page-7-0)thdrawing groups (usually a sulfonyl functionality) to the carbanionic center ,^{10−12} the elimination of which usually produces monofluoromethyl derivatives.¹³ As this strategy precludes the prepar[ation o](#page-7-0)f compounds with the fluorine atom directly bonded to stereogenic carbons, 14 the [sy](#page-7-0)nthesis of stereogenic monofluorinated benzyl carbons remained a subject for improvement in fluorocompound chemi[stry](#page-7-0).

We have recently described that an *ortho-sulfinyl* group at (S) - $1¹⁵$ is able to provide enough chemical and configurational stability to the fluorinated benzylcarbanion obtained with LDA to react [with](#page-7-0) homochiral *N*-sulfinylimines in an almost complete stereoselective manner, thus providing the first monofluorobenzylation reagent for the creation of chiral monofluorinated carbons. These reactions are a typical example of double asymmetric synthesis,¹⁶ and the use of reagents with the same configuration at the sulfur atom (matched pair) allowed the synthesis of enantiopure anti-β-flu[ori](#page-7-0)nated β-phenylethylamines (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Substituted 1,2-Fluoroethylamines by Reaction of $[Li]-(S)-1$ with Homochiral N-Sulfinylimines

With this precedent, and taking into account the difficulties associated with the preparation of homochiral fluorobenzylic centers, 17 we decided to check the synthetic potential of the new chiral monofluorinated reagent in reactions with achiral electro-philes. [M](#page-7-0)ichael additions of $[Li]-(S)-1$ (Scheme 2) to activated alkenes such as α -substituted vinyl sulfones or α , β -unsaturated esters were chosen for this study because they [w](#page-1-0)ould provide information about the ability of the stabilized monofluorocarbanion to simultaneously control the configuration of the benzylic carbon as well as that of the second chiral center created in the reaction.¹⁸ Moreover, these reactions would expand the range of the monofluorinated compounds with the halogen atom bonded to a h[om](#page-7-0)ochiral carbon, and in the case of reactions with

Received: January 23, 2012 Published: February 21, 2012

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Homochiral Benzylic Fluorinated Centers by Reaction of (S) -1 with Sulfonyl and Alkoxycarbonyl Ethylenes

 β -substituted acrylates, the ratio of 1,2- and 1,4-addition products would give some information about the hard/soft character of $[\text{Li}]\text{-}(S)\text{-}1.^{19}$

In this paper, we describe that the ortho-sulfinyl group is able to get complete control of [the](#page-7-0) configuration at the benzylic carbon, providing an efficient method of chiral monofluorobenzylation,²⁰ which makes possible the generation of enantiomerically pure benzylic fluorides by reaction of [Li]-(S)-1 with β -substitut[ed](#page-7-0) alkoxycarbonyl and sulfonyl ethylenes. The control exerted by the sulfinyl group in the configuration of the second chiral center created in these reactions is also very high, and it allows the preparation of enantiomerically pure γ-phenyl-γ-fluorinated $β$ -substituted sulfones and esters containing two vicinal chiral centers, which had never been reported previously²¹ (Scheme 2).

■ RESULTS AND DISCU[SS](#page-7-0)ION

 (S) -2-p-Tolylsulfinylbenzyl fluoride (S) -1 was successfully prepared in excellent yield (88%) by nucleophilic substitution with CsF from the corresponding OTs derivative in dry acetonitrile and using [mim-tOH][OMs] as ionic liquid. The process is easily scalable to obtain up to 4 g of fluorinated compound (S) -1.²² Initially, we tested its reaction with β -substituted vinyl sulfones (Table 1). The treatment of (S)-1

Table 1. Reactions of [Li]-(S)-1 with β -Substituted α, β -Unsaturated Phenylsulfones 2

^a0.2 mmol of (S)-1 has been used. ^bDetermined by ¹H NMR of the crude mixture. ^cIsolated yield of the major adduct after flash chromatography. ^d The reaction was also carried out with 1 mmol of $\zeta(S)$ -1. Chajor adduct could not be isolated as pure compound.
 $\zeta(S)$ -1. Chajor adducts after that Complex mixture. ^gIsolated yield of both adducts after flash chromatography. ^h Starting material was recovered.

in THF solution with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) at −78 °C produced a instantaneous color change to deep red (suggesting the formation of $[Li]-(S)-1$, which quickly vanished and changed to green-blue upon addition of (E) -styryl phenylsulfone $(2a)$ (4 equiv), affording an easily separable 92:8 mixture of diastereoisomers 3a and 3′a, from which the major adduct 3a was obtained in 86% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other β -arylvinyl sulfones bearing 2-naphthyl (2b, entry 2, Table 1) or phenyl rings with electron-donating groups at the *para* position $(2c,d,$ entries 3 and 4, Table 1) afforded similar results. Contrarily, the sulfones with electron-withdrawing groups on the benzene ring in β -position provided less homogeneous results. Thus, the presence of a fluorine atom (2e) has no significant influence neither in the diastereoselectivity nor in the yield (Table 1, entry 5); however, a chlorine atom $(2f)$ or a cyano group $(2h)$ determined important erosion in the yield, though the same high stereoselectivity remained (Table 1, entries 6 and 8). The change of behavior was more dramatic with bromo- $(2g)$ and trifluoromethyl $(2i)$ substituents because these reactions afforded complex mixtures where the expected adducts were not easily detected (Table 1, entries 7 and 9). These results suggest that the electronic factors play a significant role on the reactivity but are much less important on the stereoselectivity, which remains similarly high in all cases.

To broaden the scope of these reactions, we treated the fluorinated benzylcarbanion with other vinyl sulfones (2j−m). The dienylsulfone (2j) evolved in a stereoselective manner even higher than the studied arylvinyl sulfones, giving a 94:6 diastereoisomeric ratio of adducts 3j/3′j (Table 1, entry 10). The poorest results were obtained with alkyl-substituted vinyl sulfones. Thus, the stereoselectivity falls to a ca. 4:1 ratio for *n*-alkyl-substituted $(2k \text{ and } 2l, \text{ Table } 1, \text{ entries } 11 \text{ and } 12)$, whereas the reaction does not take place with secondary alkylsubstituted $(2m)$ (Table 1, entry 13), probably due to its higher steric hindrance.²³

Removal of the chiral auxiliary from the major fluorinated sulfone adduct[s i](#page-7-0)s interesting because it would provide compounds with two vicinal stereocenters. It can be easily performed by treatment with 3.3 equiv of tert-butyllithium in THF at −78 °C, as it was illustrated starting from 3a (aryl substituted, R_1 = Ph) and $3k + 3k$ (alkyl-substituted, R_1 = $CH₂CH₂Ph$, affording their respective desulfinylated products in 70 and 75% yields (Scheme 3). Better yields were obtained

Scheme 3. Desulfinylation with t-BuLi or Raney-Ni

using Raney-Ni as desulfinylation reagent²⁴ (84% from 3a and 83% from $3k + 3k$). None of these procedures produces epimerization of the chiral centers prese[nt](#page-7-0) in the sulfinylated starting products, and therefore, starting from the unseparable

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article 30 and 200 an

4:1 mixture of 3 k and 3 k (Table 1, entry 11), a new 4:1 mixture of epimers, 4k and 4^k, was obtained, which could be separated by chromatography affordi[ng](#page-1-0) major 4k in 65% yield. This is an interesting result to establish the relative configuration of the minor diastereoisomers obtained in Table 1 as we will see later.

The presence of the sulfone group in substrates 4 confers them [an](#page-1-0) interesting reactivity that can be used for introducing different substituents before its removal (Scheme 4). This is an

Scheme 4. Transformations of 4a

important task due to the difficulties inherent to the preparation of fluorinated compounds with two connected stereogenic centers in an enantiomerically pure form. Sulfone removal can be performed from 4a with $Mg/MeOH²⁵$ yielding 5a in 63% yield (Scheme 4). The methylation of $4a$ (*n*-BuLi and then MeI) followed by the in situ desulfonylatio[n,](#page-7-0) yielding compound 6a (53%), illustrates the way to perform alkylation reactions. In turn, the alkoxycarbonylation is also possible using a similar one-pot sequence with $CICO₂Me²⁶$ as the electrophile (7a, Scheme 4).

Configuration indicated in Table 1 for [th](#page-7-0)e major diastereoisomers (3) was established from the unequivocal assignment of 3e performe[d](#page-1-0) by X-ray studies²⁷ (see Supporting Information), whereas that of the minor ones (3′) was deduced from indirect proofs. Two explanations [c](#page-7-0)an acc[ount for the](#page-6-0) [exclusive for](#page-6-0)mation of two diastereoisomers (out of the four possible ones) in the reactions of Table 1. The first one would involve the sulfinyl group completely controlling the configuration at the benzylic fluorinated carbo[n. T](#page-1-0)hen, 3 and 3′ would be epimers in the second chiral center created in the reaction. Alternatively, the formation of a mixture of carbanions able to react in a completely stereoselective way (only yielding syn or anti products) would yield a mixture of two diastereoisomers, 3 and 3′, now differing in the configuration of the two created chiral centers (only the configuration at the sulfur atom would be identical). Desulfinylation of the mixture $3k + 3'k$ in Scheme 3 excludes the second explanation because 4k and 4′k are diastereoisomers but not enantiomers. Then, we can conclude [th](#page-1-0)at the absolute configurations of the epimers 3 and 3′ are those indicated in Table 1 and Schemes 3 and 4.

Then we considered the direct addition of our fluorinated benzyl lithium derivative [\[L](#page-1-0)i][-](#page-1-0)(S)-1 on α ,β-unsaturated esters.²⁸ The addition of 4 molar equiv of (E) -ethyl cinnamate 8a afforded a mixture of three compounds 9a, 9′a, and 10a, t[he](#page-7-0) two former ones (9a and 9'a) being epimers at $C-3^{29}$ resulting from the conjugated addition and 10a, the major one, the 1,2 addition product. Both an adverse regioselectivity $(9a + 9'a)$ 10a = 30:70) and a moderate stereoselectivity $(9a/9a' = 82:18)$ were attained (Table 2, entry 1). However, the formation of the 1,2-addition product can be avoided by using the corresponding

Table 2. Reactions of [Li]-(S)-1 with β -Substituted α , β-Unsaturated tert-Butyl Esters

^a0.2 mmol of (S)-1 has been used. ^bDetermined by ¹H NMR of the crude mixture. ^cIsolated yields of the major isomer after flash chromatography. d 10a was obtained as major compound (see text).
 e^{ϵ} Combined vield Major adduct could not be isolated as nure Combined yield. ^fMajor adduct could not be isolated as pure compound. ^gComplex mixture.

tert-butyl ester 11a as electrophilic partner, which allows the complete regiocontrol, only yielding the Michael products 12a and 12′a, and a substantial increase of the stereoselectivity (92:8) (Table 2, compare entries 1 and 2).

Then we investigated the scope of the reaction with other unsaturated aromatic tert-butyl esters containing electronwithdrawing and electron-donating groups on the aromatic ring (11b−g). All of them evolved into 92:8 mixtures of the 1,4-adducts 12 and 12′, regardless of the electronic character of the substituents at the phenyl ring (Table 2, entries 3−8). As in the case of sulfones, the lowest yields are obtained with electron-withdrawing groups (11e−11g, entries 6−8). Separation of the mixtures could be performed only in the later cases, which allowed the isolation of the major isomers (12e and 12f) with higher than 98% de. The p-trifluoromethylphenyl derivative 11h afforded a complex mixture where the conjugate addition products could not be detected (Table 2, entry 9). The reactions with alkenyl (11i) and alkyl-substituted (11j and 11k) acrylates evolved with a complete control of the stereoselectivity, only yielding one diastereoisomer (12i−k, Table 2, entries 10−12). The failure of the reaction of 11l ($R_1 = Bn$) and 11m $(R_1 = i-Pr)$ is noteworthy. The easy deprotonation of 11l and the steric hindrance of 11m could be responsible for their lack of reactivity.

Desulfinylation of the resulting compounds can be successfully performed with Raney-Ni³⁰ under similar conditions to that used with the sulfones in Scheme 3 to afford the corresponding tertbutyl butanoates (Table [3\)](#page-7-0) without affecting the enantiomeric integrity. This protocol was [ap](#page-1-0)plied to obtain compounds 13b, 13c, 13d (R_1 [a](#page-3-0)romatic), and 13k (R_1 aliphatic). In the three former cases, we used as starting compounds the unseparable 92:8 diastereomeric mixtures in Table 2, which afforded 92:8 mixtures of their corresponding 13 and 13′, which could now be separated. Thus, reactions of (S) -1 with β -substituted acrylates

Table 3. Synthesis of Enantiomerically Pure β-Substituted γ-Fluoroesters (13) by Desulfinylation of Their Precursors

followed by desulfinylation gave access to β -substituted γ -fluoroesters bearing two connected chiral centers in only two steps, with good yields and excellent diastereoselectivities.

The formation of the major isomers 12 could be explained by assuming the approach depicted in Scheme 5. The carbanion, stabilized by hydrogen bonds with the N−H of the amine,³¹ only has one accessible face for attacking the electrophile. It would explain the R configuration observed for compounds [3](#page-7-0) (and 3′) and 12 (and 12′) obtained, respectively, from vinyl sulfones and acrylates. The R or S configuration at the second chiral center depends on the electrophile's face being attacked by the carbanion. Approaches A and B (Scheme 5) are those resulting from the presumably favored orientations of the electrophilic double bond (with an antiperiplanar arrangement with respect to the aromatic ring bonded to the carbanion). Major compounds (3 and 12) result from the approach A, which suggests that the $(SOTO-Ar/R)_{\text{gauche}}$ is larger than $[(Ar/Ar)_{\text{gauche}}]$ $R)_{\text{gauche}} + (R/F)_{\text{gauche}}$] destabilizing B.

■ CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated that monofluorobenzylcarbanions stabilized by a remote homochiral sulfinyl group react with high stereoselectivity and good yields with β -aryl-substituted vinyl aryl sulfones (92:8 dr) if the aryl substituent bears electron-donating groups or F in para position. The obtained sulfonyl adducts could be transformed successfully, by successive desulfinylation and desulfonylation reactions (with or without previous alkylation) and without loss of configurational

integrity in the corresponding 1,2-diarylfluoroalkanes. On the other hand, the same monofluorobenzyl lithium derivatives afforded the corresponding Michael adducts, with high or complete diastereoselectivity by addition to β -aryl or β -alkyl α , β -unsaturated tert-butyl esters, respectively. However, with the β -aryl esters, although the ring substituent effect is not related to the diastereoselectivity, a strong decrease in yield $(p\text{-}Cl, p\text{-}Br, \text{or } p\text{-}CN)$ or no adduct $(p\text{-}CF_3)$ is observed with electron-withdrawing groups bonded to the aromatic ring. The importance of the Michael-type process described herein, which takes place with high yields and excellent stereoselectivities, lies in the preparation of enantiomerically pure fluorinated compounds that, to the best of our knowledge, have not been prepared so far.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. ¹H NMR spectra were acquired at 300 MHz, and ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired at 75 MHz. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were acquired at 282.4 MHz. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million relative to residual solvent signals (CHCl $_3$, 7.26 ppm for ¹H NMR, CDCl₃, 77.0 ppm for ¹³C NMR spectra). ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired on a broad-band proton decoupled mode. Highresolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained with an electrospray ion source. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes. All reactions were carried out in anhydrous solvents and under an argon atmosphere. Commercial anhydrous THF was dried with molecular sieves. *i*Pr₂NH was distilled from KOH. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using precoated aluminumbacked plates (Kieselgel 60 F254) and visualized by ultraviolet irradiation or KMnO₄ dip. Flash column chromatography was performed by using silica gel (230−400 mesh).

Commercially available starting materials and solvents were used without purification. Compound $(S)-1^{15}$ was previously synthesized, and vinyl sulfones and unsaturated esters were obtained according to the literature.³²

General Procedure for the Conj[ug](#page-7-0)ate Addition of Sulfoxide (S)-1 to Vin[yl](#page-7-0) Sulfones and α , β -Unsaturated Esters. A solution of n-BuLi (0.24 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane) was added over iPr_2NH (0.36 mmol) in THF (1.2 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 10 min, the mixture was cooled at -78 °C and then a solution of (S)-1 (0.20 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added. After stirring for 5 min, the corresponding vinyl sulfones 2a−m (Table 1) or unsaturated ester 11a−m (Table 2) (0.8 mmol) in THF (0.4 mL) were added at −78 °C. Immediately, the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed $(2 \text{ mL of saturated NH}_4Cl)$, extracted $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL Et}_2\text{O})$, washe[d](#page-1-0) $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL of saturated NaCl})$ $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL of saturated NaCl})$ $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL of saturated NaCl})$, dried (MgSO4), and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. The eluent and

Scheme 5. Favored Approaches of β-Substituted Vinyl Sulfones or α,β -Unsaturated Esters to the Only Accessible Face of the Carbanionic Species

the obtained yield in each case are indicated below or in the Supporting Information.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-3-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}-2-phenylprop-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3a). Compound 3a was obtained as the [major diastereomer from](#page-6-0) vinyl sulfone $2a$ and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 86%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 64 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); IR (NaCl) 2965, 2922, 1447, 1305, 1141, 1085 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 7.95 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.45 (m, 6H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.14−7.02 (m, 3H), 6.97−6.94 (m, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, ${}_{.}^{2}J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 45.8 and J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.67–3.44 (m, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR δ 142.3, 142.2, 142.0, 141.4, 139.6, 136.3, 136.1, 135.5, 133.3, 131.2. 130.3, 129.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 126.0, 91.1 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 176.3 \text{ Hz})$, 58.0 $(d, {}^{3}I_{C-F} = 45 \text{ Hz})$, 46.6 $(d, {}^{3}I_{C-F} = 23.2 \text{ Hz})$, 21.4, ¹⁹E NMB δ -180.8; J_{C-F} = 4.5 Hz), 46.6 (d, ² J_{C-F} = 23.2 Hz), 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -180.8; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 493 [M + H]+ (100), 515 [M + Na]⁺ (21), 473 $[M - F]^+$ (33); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{28}H_{26}O_3FS_2$ 493.1301, found 493.1299.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-3-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}-2(1 naphthyl)prop-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3b). Compound 3b was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone 2b and (S)-1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 86%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D 154 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.87 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63– 7.60 (m, 1H), 7.47−7.32 (m, 9H), 7.5−7.11 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.98−6.93 (m, 1H), 6.87 (d, ^J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, ² $^{2}J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 45.9 and J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.74–3.40 (m, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 142.1, 141.3, 139.5, 136.5, 136.4, 133.0, 132.9, 132.9, 132.7,131.4, 130.4, 129.5, 128.8, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 126.3, 126.3, 126.1, 126.0, 90.9 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 179.2$ Hz), 58.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 4.5 Hz), 46.9 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 24.3 Hz), 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -179.6; IR (NaCl) 2923, 1509, 1305, 1216, 1138, 1084 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 565 [M + Na]⁺ (18), 543 [M + 1]⁺ (100), 523 $[M - F]^+$ (26); HRMS m/z calcd for C₃₂H₂₈O₃FS₂ 543.1456, found 543.1458.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-3-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}-2-(4 methoxyphenyl)prop-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3c). Compound 3c was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone 2c and (S)- 1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 89%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ 66 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.94 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.61– 7.44 (m, 6H), 7.36−7.26 (m, 5H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.56 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.27 (dd, $^{2}J_{\text{H-F}} = 45.8$ and $J =$ 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.67−3.36 (m, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H); 13C NMR δ 159.0, 142.1, 142.1, 142.0, 141.4, 139.8, 136.5, 136.2, 133.2, 131.1, 130.3, 130.2, 129.4, 128.9, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.3, 125.9, 113.7, 91.4 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 176.3 \text{ Hz})$, 58.3 $(d, {}^{3}J_{C-F} = 4.0 \text{ Hz})$, 55.2, 45.9 $(d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} =$ 23.8 Hz), 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -181.1; IR (NaCl) 3020, 2895, 1515, 1305, 1216, 1035 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 545 [M + Na]⁺ (18), 523 $[M + 1]^+$ (100), 503 $[M - F]^+$ (36); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{29}H_{28}O_4FS_2$ 523.1407, found 523.1413.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-3-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}-2-(4-tolyl) prop-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3d). Compound 3d was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone $2d$ and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 80%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 77 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.94 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.44 (m, 6H), 7.35−7.27 (m, 5H), 6.99 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.29 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 45.8 and J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64−3.39 (m, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H); 13C NMR δ 142.3, 142.2, 142.0, 141.4, 139.7, 137.3, 136.5, 136.2, 133.1, 132.4, 131.2, 130.3, 129.5, 129.0, 128.9, 128.9, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 125.9, 91.2 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 179.3 \text{ Hz})$, 58.5 $(d, {}^{3}J_{C-F} = 4.3 \text{ Hz})$, 46.3 $(d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 24.2 \text{ Hz})$, 21.4, 21.0; 19F NMR δ −180.2; IR (NaCl) 3021, 2923, 1515, 1306, 1141, 1084 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 529 [M + Na]⁺ (33), 507 $[M + 1]^+$ (100), 487 $[M - F]^+$ (50); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{29}H_{28}O_3FS$, 507.1458, found 507.1459.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-3-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}-2-(4 fluorophenyl)prop-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3e). Compound 3e was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone 2e and (S)-1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 81%; white solid; mp 131−133 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 102 (c 0.9, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.93 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58−7.46 (m, 6H), 7.38−7.28 (m, 5H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.77–6.73 (m, 4H), 6.27 (dd, $^{2}J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 45.9 and J = 4.6 Hz, 1H),

3.72−3.39 (m, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 162.2 (d, ¹J_{C-F} = 247.0 Hz), 142.0, 142.0, 141.9, 139.6, 136.4, 136.1, 133.3, 131.3, 131.3 (d, ${}^{4}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 3.4 Hz), 130.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 8.0 Hz), 130.3, 129.5, 128.0 $(d, {}^{3}J_{C-F} = 8.1 \text{ Hz})$, 127.7, 126.4, 125.7, 126.4, 125.7, 115.1 $(d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} =$ 21.4 Hz), 91.1 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 179.7$ Hz), 58.2 (d, $^{3}J_{C-F} = 4.3$ Hz), 46.1 $(d, {}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 23.9 \text{ Hz})$, 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -114.4, -181.5; IR (KBr) 2975, 2921, 1511, 1307, 1140, 1084 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 533 $[M + Na]$ ⁺ (35), 511 $[M + 1]$ ⁺ (100), 491 $[M - F]$ ⁺ (40); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{28}H_{25}O_3F_2S_2$ 511.1207, found 511.1204.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-3-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}-2-(4 cyanophenyl)prop-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3h). Compound 3h was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone $2h$ and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 41%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 114 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.87 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.56– 7.46 (m, 5H), 7.43−7.33 (m, 8H), 7.28−7.26 (m, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 46.0 and J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.76–3.62 (m, 2H), 3.37−3.33 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H); 13C NMR δ 142.0, 141.8, 141.6, 140.8, 139.4, 136.4, 136.1, 133.5, 131.9, 131.8, 130.3, 130.0, 129.7, 129.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.2, 125.3, 118.4 (C=N), 111.6, 90.7 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 179.9 \text{ Hz})$, 57.8 $(d, {}^{3}J_{C-F} = 4.5 \text{ Hz})$, 47.0 $(d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 24.4 \text{ Hz})$, 21.4; 19F NMR δ −180.7; IR (NaCl) 2985, 2925, 2229, 1447, 1306, 1142, 1084 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 540 [M + Na]⁺ (33), 518 $[M + 1]^+$ (100), 498 $[M - F]^+$ (34); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{29}H_{25}NO_3FS_2$ 518.1249, found 518.1254.

(2R,3E)-2-((R)-Fluoro{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}methyl)- 4-phenylbut-3-en-1-yl phenyl sulfone (3j). Compound 3j was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone $2j$ and $(S)-1$. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 70%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ 56 (c 1.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.96 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83– 7.81 (m, 2H), 7.56−7.4 (m, 8H), 7.29−7.21 (m, 5H), 7.10−7.07 (m, 2H), 6.27 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 45.8 and J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.94–5.76 (m, 2H), 3.42−3.30 (m, 2H), 3.24−3.08 (m, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H); 13C NMR δ 142.2, 142.2, 141.9, 141.5, 139.7, 136.4, 136.1, 136.1, 135.6, 133.6, 131.1, 130.2, 129.6, 129.2, 128.4, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 126.4, 126.2, 125.9, 123.2, 123.1, 91.4 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 179.3$ Hz), 57.6 (d, $^{3}J_{C-F} =$ 3.2 Hz), 44.8 (d, ²J_{C-F} = 23.4 Hz), 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -183.2; IR (NaCl): 3035, 2924, 1493, 1306, 1216, 1084 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 541 $[M + Na]$ ⁺ (23), 519 $[M + 1]$ ⁺ (100), 499 $[M - F]$ ⁺ (36); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{30}H_{28}O_3FS_2$ 519.1448, found 519.1458.

(2R)-2-((R)-Fluoro{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}methyl)-4 phenylbutyl phenyl sulfone (3k). Compound 3k was obtained as the major diastereomer from vinyl sulfone $2k$ and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 70%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}}$ –35 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 8.03 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.68−7.61 (m, 1H), 7.57−7.39 (m, 7H), 7.25−7.15 (m, 5H), 7.02− 6.94 (m, 2H), 6.14 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 46.1 and J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.12–2.92 (m, 2H), 2.60−2.51 (m, 2H), 2.37−2.33 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.95− 1.89 (m, 2H); 13C NMR δ 143.1, 141.8, 141.7, 140.9, 139.5, 136.3, 136.0, 133.8, 131.4, 130.1, 129.7, 129.4, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 126.1, 126.1, 125.9, 92.3 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 178.0 \text{ Hz}$), 55.7 (d, $^{3}J_{C-F} =$ 4.8 Hz), 39.1 (d, $^{2}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 24.4 Hz), 32.4, 30.0, 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ –181.4; IR (NaCl) 3035, 2925, 2229, 1494, 1307, 1148, 1085 cm^{−1}; MS (ESI +) m/z (%) 543 [M + Na]⁺ (19), 521 [M + H]⁺ (100), 501 [M – F]⁺ (63); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{30}H_{30}O_3FS_2$ 521.1614, found 521.1622.

(2R)-2((R)-Fluoro{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}methyl)pentyl phenyl sulfone (3l + 3l'). This compound was obtained as a $88:12$ $(3l + 3l')$ enriched mixture from vinyl sulfone 2l and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 68%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{}^{20}$ –41 $(c$ 1.9, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 8.05 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.55−7.44 (m, 7H), 7.38−7.33 (m, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.14 (dd, ²J_H_F = 46.0 and *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H, A), 5.76 (dd, ²J_H_F = 46.0 and *J* = 4.9 Hz, B), 3.07 (dd, J = 14.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dd, J = 14.7, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55−2.39 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.63−1.39 (m, 2H), 1.33−1.22 (m, 1H), 0.89–0.75 (m, 1H), 0.72 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 143.1, 141.9, 141.7, 139.7, 136.3, 136.0, 133.8, 131.3, 130.2, 130.0, 129.7, 129.4, 127.8, 127.3, 127.1, 125.9, 125.9, 125.9, 92.3 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 178.1 Hz), 55.3 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 4.7 \text{ Hz}$), 39.3 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 23.7 \text{ Hz}$), 29.8, 21.4, 19.2, 13.8; 19F NMR δ −182.6 (A), −186.82 (B); IR (NaCl) 2961, 2873, 2229, 1447, 1307, 1148, 1084 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%)

481 [M + Na]⁺ (100), 459 [M + 1]+ (73), 439 [M − F]+ (55); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{28}O_3FS_2$ 459.1458, found 459.1441.

tert-Butyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}- 3-phenylbutanoate (12a). Compound 12a was obtained as the major diastereomer from unsaturated ester 11a and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 2:1; yield 82%; colorless oil; $\lbrack a \rbrack^{20}$ 24 $(c 1.5, CHCl₃)$; ¹H NMR δ 8.00 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.50−7.45 (m, 1H), 7.34−7.28 (m, 2H), 7.23−7.17 (m, 4H), 7.01−6.97 (m, 3H), 6.11 (dd, ²J_{H⋅F} = 46.0 and J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.41− 3.26 (m, 1H), 2.68−2.52 (m, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.22 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 170.3, 142.7, 142.6, 141.9, 141.8, 137.7, 136.9, 136.6, 130.8, 130.1, 129.8, 129.4, 129.1, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 126.1, 125.6, 92.5 $(d, {}^{1}J_{\text{C-F}} = 178.7 \text{ Hz}), 80.6, 47.8 \text{ } (d, {}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.7 \text{ Hz}), 38.0 \text{ } (d, {}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} =$ 4.5 Hz), 27.8, 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -178.1; IR (NaCl) 2978, 2868, 2229, 1727, 1393, 1258, 1150 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 927 [2M + Na]+ (43) , 475 $[M + Na]$ ⁺ (100), 453 $[M + H]$ ⁺ (42), 397 (66); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{30}O_3FS$ 453.1876, found 453.1894.

tert-Butyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-4-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}- 3-(4-chlorophenyl)butanoate (12e). Compound 12e was obtained as the major diastereomer from unsaturated ester 11e and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 3:1; yield 55%; colorless oil; $\lbrack a \rbrack^{20}$ 17 $(c$ 1.4, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.36−7.32 (m, 3H), 7.21−7.18 (m, 2H), 6.99−6.92 (m, 3H), 6.10 (dd, ²J_H_F = 46.1 and J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.24 (m, 1H), 2.69– 2.51 (m, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 170.0, 142.4, 142.4, 141.9, 141.7, 136.8, 136.6, 136.3, 133.1, 131.0, 130.5, 130.1, 129.9, 129.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 125.9, 92.0 (d, 1 J_{C-F} = 178.6 Hz), 80.8, 47.3 (d, ²J_{C-F} = 22.9 Hz), 38.0 (d, ³ ¹⁹F NMR δ -178.9; IR (NaCl) 2985, 2896, 2229, 1733, 1503, 1383, 1253 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 509 [M + Na]⁺ (23), 487 [M + 1]⁺ (63), 431 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{29}O_3FSC1$ 487.1504, found 487.1518.

tert-Butyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-4-{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl}- 3-(4-bromophenyl)butanoate (12f). Compound 12f was obtained as the major diastereomer from unsaturated ester $11f$ and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 2:1; yield 38%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]$ $v_{\rm p}$ 31 $(c \ 1.6, \ CHCl₃)$; ¹H NMR δ 8.06–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.53–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.37−7.30 (m, 3H), 7.29−7.27 (m, 2H), 7.00−6.97 (m, 1H), 6.88 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.10 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 46.0 and J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.23 $(m, 1H)$, 2.66–2.52 $(m, 2H)$, 2.37 $(s, 3H)$, 1.24 $(s, 9H)$; ¹³C NMR δ 170.0, 142.4, 142.4, 142.1, 141.9, 141.6, 136.9, 136.9, 136.6, 131.2, 131.0, 130.8, 130.1, 129.5, 128.0, 127.9, 126.5, 125.9, 121.2, 91.9 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}} = 178.6 \text{ Hz}$), 80.8, 47.3 (d, $^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.7 \text{ Hz}$), 37.9 (d, $^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 4.1 \text{ Hz}$), 27.8, 21.4; 19F NMR δ −178.8; IR (NaCl) 2978, 2915, 2229, 1727, 1492, 1365, 1258 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 533 [M + 2]⁺ (57), 397 $[M]^+$ (52), 477 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{29}O_3FSBr$ 531.1006, found 531.0999.

tert-Butyl (3R,4E)-3-((R)-Fluoro{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl} methyl)-5-phenylpent-4-enoate (12i). Compound 12i was obtained as a unique diastereomer from unsaturated ester 11i and (S)-1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 2:1; yield 76%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 33 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 8.04–7.99 (m, 1H), 7.53– 7.37 (m, 6H), 7.27−7.19 (m, 6H), 6.15−6.13 (m, 2H), 6.00 (dd, ² 2 I_{H⋅F} = 46.2 and J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.10–2.95 (m, 1H), 2.49–2.31 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 170.5, 142.8, 141.9, 141.7, 137.1, 136.8, 136.8, 134.0, 131.0, 130.0, 129.6, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 126.3, 126.0, 126.0, 125.9, 125.6, 125.6, 92.7 (d, ¹J_{C-F} = 177.8 Hz), 80.8, 45.9 (d, ¹J_{C-F} = 22.8 Hz), 37.8 (d, ³J_{C-F} = 3.5 Hz), 28.1, 21.1, ¹⁹E NMR δ -178.9 $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 22.8 Hz), 37.8 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 3.5 Hz), 28.1, 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ –178.9; IR (NaCl) 2980, 2806, 1726, 1493, 1368, 1151 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z $(\%)$ 501 [M + Na]⁺ (52), 479 [M + H]⁺ (53), 423 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for C₂₉H₃₂O₃FS 479.2050, found 479.2067.

tert-Butyl (3S)-3-((R)-Fluoro{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl} methyl)hexanoate (12j). Compound 12j was obtained as a unique diastereomer from unsaturated ester 11j and (S) -1. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 2:1; yield 77%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –68 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 8.05 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.39 (m, 5H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.85 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 46.6 and J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.52−2.38 (m, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.10 (dc, J = 15.6, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.58−1.47 (m, 3H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.23−1.10 (m, 1H), 0.85 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR δ 171.4, 143.7, 142.4, 141.4, 137.3, 137.0,

131.0, 129.9, 129.6, 127.7, 127.6, 125.9, 125.9, 94.5 (d, $^{1}J_{C,F} = 175.6$ Hz), 80.7, 40.7 (d, $^{2}J_{CF}$ = 22.7 Hz), 36.0 (d, $^{3}J_{CF}$ = 5.1 Hz), 30.8 (d, $^{3}J_{C-F}$ = 2.9 Hz), 28.1, 21.3, 19.8, 14.1, ¹⁹F NMR δ -177.2; IR (NaCl) ${}^{3}J_{C-F}$ = 2.9 Hz), 28.1, 21.3, 19.8, 14.1; ¹⁹F NMR δ -177.2; IR (NaCl) 2962, 2873, 1726, 1493, 1393, 1154 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 859 $[2M + Na]$ ⁺ (34), 441 $[M + Na]$ ⁺ (100), 419 $[M + H]$ ⁺ (33); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{24}H_{32}O_3FS$ 419.2050, found 419.2035.

tert-Butyl (3S)-3-((R)-Fluoro{2-[(S)-p-tolylsulfinyl]phenyl} methyl)-5-phenylpentanoate (12k). Compound 12k was obtained as a unique diastereomer from unsaturated ester $11k$ and $(S)-1$. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 2:1; yield 79%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D –43 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 8.04 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.55– 7.47 (m, 4H), 7.45−7.39 (m, 1H), 7.28−7.19 (m, 5H), 7.12−7.10 (m, 2H), 5.91 (dd, ²J_{H·F} = 46.5 and J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.75–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.59−2.43 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.28−2.11 (m, 2H), 2.01−1.89 (m, 1H), 1.84−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 171.2, 143.6, 142.2, 141.8, 141.4, 137.2, 136.9, 131.1, 129.9, 129.7, 129.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 126.1, 125.9, 125.9, 125.8, 94.3 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 175.8 \text{ Hz}$), 80.8, 40.7 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.7 \text{ Hz}$), 36.1 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 5.2 \text{ Hz}$), 33.0, 30.7 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 28.1, 21.4; ¹⁹F NMR δ -176.8; IR (NaCl) 2980, 2928, 2823, 1724, 1454, 1367, 1150 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 503 [M + Na]⁺ (68), 481 $[M + H]^+$ (83), 425 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for C₂₉H₃₄O₃FS 481.2207, found 481.2218.

General Methods for Adducts Desulfinylation. Raney-Ni: A solution of the corresponding adducts (0.1 mmol) in THF (0.2 mL) was added over a solution of previously activated Raney-Ni (450 mg) in THF (0.5 mL). The resulting reaction was stirred for 4.5 h at rt, and then the crude was directly filtered on Celite and the pure products were recovered with ether.

tert-BuLi: To a solution of the corresponding sulfoxide (0.12 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL) at −78 °C was dropwise added tert-BuLi (0.4 mmol, 1.7 M in pentane). When the reaction was complete (30 min), the resulting reaction mixture was hydrolyzed (1 mL of H_2O), extracted $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL }$ AcOEt), washed (10 mL of NaCl), dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure.

(2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-2,3-diphenylpropyl phenyl sulfone (4a). Compound 4a was obtained as a unique diastereomer from sulfone 3a: yield 84%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D 9 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.80– 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.57−7.52 (m, 1H), 7.43−7.38 (m, 2H), 7.22−7.20 (m, 3H), 7.12−7.03 (m, 3H), 6.96−6.93 (m, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.90 (dd, $^{2}J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 46.4 and J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86–3.57 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 139.5, 137.2, 136.9, 135.4, 133.5, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.5, 127.1, 125.6, 125.5, 94.1 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} =$ 181.1 Hz), 57.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$), 47.1 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.6 \text{ Hz}$); ¹⁹F NMR δ −188.8; IR (NaCl) 3026, 2905, 1504, 1304, 1152, 705 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 731 $[2M + Na]^+$ (30), 377 $[M + Na]^+$ (12), 193 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{19}O_2$ FNaS 377.0982, found 377.0994.

(2R)-2-[(R)-Fluoro(phenyl)methyl]-4-phenylbutyl phenyl sulfone (4k). Compound 4k was isolated from the 80:20 diastereoisomeric mixture $(4k + 4k')$ obtained from $3k + 3k'$. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 15:1; yield 65%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 18 (c 1.5, CHCl3); ¹ H NMR δ 7.92−7.80 (m, 2H), 7.72−7.63 (m, 1H), 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.34−7.29 (m, 3H), 7.23−7.13 (m, 5H), 6.97−6.92 (m, 2H), 5.86 (dd, ²J_{H·F} = 46.8 and J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd, J = 14.5, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (dd, J = 14.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.68−2.33 (m, 2H), 2.47−2.33 (m, 1H), 1.86−1.74 (m, 2H); 13C NMR δ 140.8, 139.5, 137.9, 137.6, 133.8, 133.4, 129.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.9, 126.0, 125.1, 125.0, 94.32 (d, 1 J_{C-F} = 177.5 Hz), 56.14 (d, 3 J_{C-F} = 3.2 Hz), 39.16 (d, $^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.1$ Hz), 32.79, 29.04 (d, ³ ¹⁹F NMR δ −195.4; IR (NaCl) 3032, 2927, 1448, 1307, 1149, 699 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 787 [2M + Na]⁺ (13), 767 (37), 405 [M + Na]⁺ (59), 363 [M – F]⁺ (69), 143 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{23}O_2$ FNaS 405.1295, found 405.1255.

tert-Butyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-4-phenyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl) butanoate (13b). Compound 13b was obtained as the major diastereomer from the mixture 12b/12b′. Chromatography: n-hexane/ AcOEt, 20:1; yield 85%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D 37 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.27−7.26 (m, 2H), 7.10−7.05 (m, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 5.61 (dd, $^{2}J_{\text{H-F}} = 46.6$ and $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.58−3.43 (m, 1H), 2.83−2.42 (m, 2H), 1.29 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 169.9, 157.6, 137.4, 137.1, 129.1, 129.0, 127.1, 127.0, 125.0, 124.9, 112.4, 95.1 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 177.7 \text{ Hz}$), 79.6, 54.1, 46.7 $(d, {}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 23.0 \text{ Hz})$, 36.8 $(d, {}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 4.1 \text{ Hz})$, 26.9; ¹⁹F NMR δ -183.5; IR (NaCl) 3026, 2994, 2924, 1731, 1152, 702 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 367 $[M + Na]$ ⁺ (30), 263 (94), 225 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}O_3$ FNa 367.1679, found 367.1696.

tert-Butyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-4-phenyl-3-(4-tolyl)butanoate (13c). Compound 13c was obtained as the major diastereomer from the mixture 12c/12c′. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 20:1; yield 84%; white solid; mp 45–46 °C; [α]²⁰_D 49 (c 0.9, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.29−7.26 (m, 2H), 7.13−7.10 (m, 2H), 7.06−6.97 (m, 4H), 5.61 (dd, ² $^{2}J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 46.6 and J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.60–3.45 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.54 (m, 2H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 170.9, 138.4, 138.1, 136.5, 135.2, 128.8, 128.7, 128.2, 128.1, 126.1, 126.0, 96.2 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 177.6$ Hz), 80.6, 48.0 (d, ²J_{C-F} = 23.2 Hz), 37.7 (d, ³ ¹⁹F NMR δ −182.4; IR (KBr) 3026, 2991, 2925, 1731, 1152, 699 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 537 (33), 519 (32), 351 [M + Na]+ (72), 253 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}O_2$ FNa 351.1730, found 351.1736.

tert-Butyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-phenylbutanoate (13d). Compound 13d was obtained as the major diastereomer from the mixture 12d/12d′. Chromatography: n-hexane/ AcOEt, 20:1; yield 86%; white solid; mp 82−84 °C; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack^{20}$ 49 (c 1.6, CHCl3); ¹ H NMR δ 7.34−7.27 (m, 2H), 7.09−7.03 (m, 4H), 6.94− 6.89 (m, 2H), 5.64 (dd, ²J_{H⋅F} = 45.9 Hz and J = 1H), 3.61–3.47 (m, 1H), 2.75−2.59 (m, 2H), 1.28 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR δ 170.7, 161.9 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 245.2 Hz), 138.1, 137.9, 133.8, 129.4 (d, $^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 8.1 Hz), 128.3, 128.1, 125.8, 125.7, 114.8, $(d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 21.2 \text{ Hz})$, 95.9 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 178.0 \text{ Hz})$ Hz), 80.8, 47.9 (d, ²]_{C-F} = 22.9 Hz), 37.8 (d, ³]_{C-F} = 4.1 Hz), 27.9;
¹⁹F NMR δ –115.8, –185.0; IR (KBr) 3012, 2998, 2920, 1732, 1156, 704 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 355 [M + Na]+ (77), 257 (100), 211 (83); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}O_2F_2Na$ 355.1480, found 355.1488.

tert-Butyl (3S)-3-[(R)-Fluoro(phenyl)methyl]-5-phenylpentanoate (13k). Compound 13k was obtained as the unique diastereomer from ester 12k: yield 80%; colorless oil; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack^{20}$ 16 (c 2.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.30–7.19 (m, 5H), 7.17–7.14 (m, 2H), 7.10– 7.08 (m, 2H), 5.56 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 47.1 and J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.75–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.58−2.50 (m, 1H), 2.47−2.39 (m, 2H), 2.30−2.22 (m, 1H), 1.90−1.78 (m, 1H), 1.71−1.61 (m, 1H), 1.46 (s, 9H); 13C NMR δ 171.8, 142.0, 138.9, 138.6, 129.3, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.3, 128.1, 125.8, 125.8, 125.7, 95.9 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 174.7 \text{ Hz}$), 80.7, 40.9 (d, $^{2}J_{C-F} =$ 22.4 Hz), 36.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C-F}$ = 4.3 Hz), 33.3, 30.3, 28.1; ¹⁹F NMR δ –187.8; IR (NaCl) 3020, 2993, 2931, 1727, 1149, 700 cm^{−1}; MS (ESI+) *m/z* $(\%)$ 365 [M + Na]⁺ (15), 249 (20), 189 (80), 129 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{22}H_{27}O_2$ FNa 365.1887, found 365.1886.

General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds 5a−7a (Scheme 4). General Procedure for the Desulfonylation. The corresponding sulfone (0.1 mmol) was dissolved in 0.4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and added to a solution of magnesium (10 equiv, previousl[y](#page-2-0) flame-dried under a stream of argon) in 1 mL of MeOH under argon atmosphere. After complete consumption, 10 equiv more of magnesium was added. When the reaction was finished (as monitored by TLC), the crude was directly filtered on silica. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

1,1′-[(1R,2R)-1-Fluoropropane-1,2-diyl]dibenzene (5a). Compound 5a was obtained as the unique diastereomer from sulfone 4a. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 10:1; yield 63%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D 54 (c 0.45, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.35–7.28 (m, 5H), 7.24–7.20 $(m, 5H)$, 5.46 (dd, ²J_{H-F} = 46.5 and J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.32–3.71 (m, 1H), 1.20 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 142.0, 141.9, 138.8, 138.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 126.7, 126.4, 126.4, 98.1, $(d_1^{-1})_{C-F}$ = 176.0 Hz), 46.1 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 23.2 \text{ Hz}$), 17.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 5.6 \text{ Hz}$); ¹⁹F NMR δ −174.2; IR (NaCl) 3029, 2995, 2926, 1452, 1216 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 214 [M]⁺ (10), 194 (23), 179 (81), 105 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{15}F$ 214.1158, found 214.1154.

General Procedure for Addition and Desulfonylation. To a solution of sulfone (0.085 mmol, dr >98:2) in THF (2.1 mL), cooled at -78 °C, was added a 2.5 M solution of *n*-BuLi in hexane (1.5 equiv). The resulting solution was maintained for 20 min, and then electrophile

(1.5 equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 1 h, and then saturated solution $NH₄Cl$ (5 mL) was added. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried $(MgSO₄)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in 0.4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , and then the general procedure for the desulfonylation was followed.

1,1′-[(1R,2R)-1-Fluorobutane-1,2-diyl]dibenzene (6a). Compound 6a was obtained as the unique diastereomer from sulfone 4a and MeI. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 10:1; yield 53%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}$ 64 (c 0.85, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.28–7.20 (m, 6H), 7.19–7.11 (m, 4H), 5.47 (dd, $^{1}J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 46.6 and J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.90−2.77 (m, 1H), 1.65−1.54 (m, 2H), 0.68 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 139.9, 139.8, 139.2, 128.9, 128.9, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 126.7, 126.3, 126.2, 97.2, $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 175.9 \text{ Hz})$, 54.3 $(d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 22.2 \text{ Hz})$, 24.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 4.6 Hz), 11.9; ¹⁹F NMR δ –177.0; IR (NaCl) 3026, 2994, 2930, 1451, 1210 cm[−]¹ ; MS (EI) m/z (%) 228 [M]+ (9), 208 (61), 193 (34), 179 (35), 115 (47), 91 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{17}F$ 228.1314, found 228.1302.

Methyl (3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-3,4-diphenylbutanoate (7a). Compound 7a was obtained as the unique diastereomer from sulfone 4a and ClCO₂Me. Chromatography: n-hexane/AcOEt, 20:1; yield 55%; colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ 55 (c 0.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.28–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.24–7.15 (m, 3H), 7.15–7.09 (m, 4H), 5.68 (dd, ¹J_{H-F} = 46.5 and J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.71−3.60 (m, 1H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 2.89−2.69 (m, 2H); 13C NMR δ 172.1, 138.1, 138.1, 137.8, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.1, 126.0, 125.9, 95.9 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}} = 178.0 \text{ Hz}$), 51.7, 48.1 (d, $^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} =$ 23.0 Hz), 36.3 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 4.7 \text{ Hz}$); ¹⁹F NMR δ -183.3; IR (NaCl) 3023, 2990, 2929, 1735, 1140, 695 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z* (%) 295 [M + Na]⁺ (35), 253 [M – F]⁺ (18), 221 (31), 193 (100); HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}O_2$ FNa 295.1104, found 295.1113.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR for compounds 3a–e, 3h, 3j, 3k, 3l + 3l', 12a, 12e, 12f, 12i, 12k, 12j, 4a, 4k, 13b−d, 13k,(5−7)a, and Xray ORTEP and crystallographic data for compound 3e (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: joseluis.garcia.ruano@uam.es, carmen.maestro@ uam.es.

Notes

[The au](mailto:carmen.maestro@uam.es)th[ors](mailto:joseluis.garcia.ruano@uam.es) [declare](mailto:joseluis.garcia.ruano@uam.es) [no](mailto:joseluis.garcia.ruano@uam.es) [competing](mailto:joseluis.garcia.ruano@uam.es) [financi](mailto:joseluis.garcia.ruano@uam.es)al [interest.](mailto:carmen.maestro@uam.es)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support of this work by the Spanish Government (grant CTQ2009-12168) and Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid (AVANCAT S2009/PPQ1634) is gratefully acknowledged. J.A.F.-S. thanks Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid (AVANCAT S2009/PPQ1634) for a predoctoral contract.

■ **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to the late Prof. Dr. Guy Solladié for his valuable contribution to asymmetric organic synthesis mediated by the sulfinyl group.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) O'Hagan, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 308. (b) Plenio, H. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 650. (c) Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Hermann, H. L.; Boche, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 823.

(2) (a) Deng, H.; O'Hagan, D.; Schaffrath, C. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2004, 21, 773. (b) Berkowitz, D. B.; Bose, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 112, 13. (c) Sutherland, A.; Willis, C. L. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2000, 17, 621.

(3) (a) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (b) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320. (c) Isanbor, C.; O'Hagan, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2006, 127, 303. (d) Kirk, K. L. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 305. (e) Bö hm, H.-J.; Banner, D.; Bendels, S.; Kansy, M.; Kuhn, B.; Müller, K.; Obst-Sander, U.; Stahl, M. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 637. (f) Hagmann, W. K. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359.

(4) (a) Jeschke, P. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 570. (b) Pesenti, C.; Viani, F. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 590.

(5) (a) Hu, H.; Xiao, M.; Wang, S. J.; Shen, P. K.; Meng, Y. Z. Fuel Cells 2011, 11, 353. (b) Reisinger, J. J.; Hillmyer, M. A. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2002, 27, 971. (c) Subramanian, S.; Park, S. K.; Parkin, S. R.; Podzorov, V.; Jackson, T. N.; Anthony, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2706. (d) Perepelkin, K. E. Fibre Chem. 2004, 36, 43. (e) Rolland, J. P.; Hagberg, E. C.; Denison, G. M.; Carter, K. R.; De Simone, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5796. (f) Ciriminna, R.; Campestrini, S.; Pagliaro, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 231. (g) Kuroboshi, M.; Kanie, K.; Hiyama, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 235. (h) Riess, J. G.; Krafft, M. P. Biomaterials 1998, 19, 1529.

(6) Ametamey, S. M.; Honer, M.; Schubiger, P. A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1501.

(7) For recent reviews, see: (a) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 455. (b) Ni, C.; Hu, J. Synlett 2011, 770. (c) Cahard, D.; Xu, X.; Couve-Bonnaire, S.; Pannecoucke, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 558. (d) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, PR1. (e) Prakash, G. K. S.; Beier, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2172. (f) Mikami, K.; Itoh, Y.; Yamanaka, M. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1. (g) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (h) Bobbio, C.; Gouverneur, V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2065.

(8) (a) Shimizu, M.; Hiyama, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 214. (b) Smits, R.; Cadicamo, C. D.; Burger, K.; Koksch, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1727. (c) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 975. (d) Zhu, J.; Wang, F.; Huang, W.; Zhao, Y.; Ye, W.; Hu, J. Synlett 2011, 899.

(9) (a) Taylor, S. D.; Kotoris, C. C.; Hum, G. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 12431. (b) Zhang, W.; Zhu, L.; Hu, J. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 10569. (c) Prakash, G. K. S.; Ledneczki, I.; Chacko, S.; Olah, G. A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 557 and references cited therein.

(10) (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Hu, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 921 and references cited therein. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Chacko, S.; Alconcel, S.; Stewart, T.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4933 and references cited therein.

(11) (a) Li, Y.; Ni, C.; Liu, J.; Zhang, L.; Zheng, J.; Zhu, L.; Hu, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1693. (b) Ni, C.; Li, Y.; Hu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6829. (c) Ni, C.; Zhang, L.; Hu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5699. (d) Liu, J.; Zhang, L.; Hu, J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5377.

(12) (a) Fukuzumi, T.; Shibata, N.; Sugiura, M.; Yasui, H.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4973. (b) Mizuta, S.; Shibata, N.; Goto, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6394. (c) Furukawa, T.; Goto, Y.; Kawazoe, J.; Tokunaga, E.; Nakamura, S.; Yang, Y.; Du, H.; Kakehi, A.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1642.

(13) Hu, J.; Zhang, W.; Wang, F. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7465.

(14) If the removal of the activating groups is not made, optically enriched compounds with quaternary fluorinated carbon atoms can be obtained: (a) Ding, C.; Maruoka, K. Synlett 2009, 664. (b) Han, X.; Luo, J.; Liu, C.; Lu, Y. Chem. Commun. 2009, 2044.

(15) García Ruano, J. L.; Parra, A.; Alonso, I.; Fustero, S.; del Pozo, C.; Arroyo, Y.; Sanz-Tejedor, A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6142.

(16) Kolodiazhnyi, O. I. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 5953.

(17) Most of these compounds are obtained from enantiomerically pure oxygenated precursors, but these methods have serious limitations in scope. See: (a) Rodriguez-Escrich, S.; Popa, D.; Jimeno, C.; Vidal-Ferran, A.; Pericás, M. A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3829. (corrected in Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3116). (b) Greé, D.; Greé, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 5435. (c) Murthy, A. S. K.; Tardivel, R.; Grée, R. In *Product Subclass 6: Benzylic Fluorides in Science of Synthesis*; Percy, J., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2006; Vol. 34, p 295. (d) Pujari, S. A.; Kaliappan, K. P.; Valleix, A.; Grée, D.; Grée, R. Synlett 2008, 2503.

(e) Bio, M. M.; Waters, M.; Javadi, G.; Song, Z. J.; Zhang, F.; Thomas, D. Synthesis 2008, 891. (f) Cresswell, A. J.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Thomson, J. E.; Tyte, M. J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2936. (g) Cresswell, A. J.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Morris, M. J.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4617. For the synthesis of enantiopure monofluorinated phenylcyclopropanes, see: (h) Rosen, T. C.; Haufe, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 1397. (i) Haufe, G.; Rosen, T. C.; Meyer, O. G. J.; Frö hlich, R.; Rissanen, K. J. Fluorine Chem. 2002, 114, 189. (18) Nucleophilic monofluoroalkylation of activated alkenes via

organocatalysis has been recently reported, but they only worked with highly stabilized fluoro derivatives (with two electron-withdrawing groups). See ref 7b, p 780.

(19) The high hard character of the fluorinated carbanions is wellknown, and only disulfonylated fluoromethylcarbanion affords exclusive 1,4-addition with chalcones. See refs 7b and 11c.

(20) The nonasymmetric version of the conjugate addition of highly stabilized (two electron-withdrawing groups or enamine moiety) nucleophilic monofluoro derivatives to $β$ -unsubstituted Michael acceptors, including esters, ketone, nitrile, and sulfone moieties, has been reported: (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Zhao, X.; Chacko, S.; Wang, F.; Vaghoo, H.; Olah, G. A. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4, 17. (b) Bridge, C. F.; O'Hagan, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 1997, 82, 21.

(21) For a recent review on asymmetric synthesis of fluorine containing compounds mediated by a chiral sulfinyl group, see: Sorochinsky, A. E.; Soloshonok, V. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2010, 131, 127.

(22) We have observed that a side compound is formed together with compound (S)-1 when the substitution reaction is performed with gram quantities. The undesired compound can be easily removed from the crude mixture by treatment with *n*-butyllithium (1 equiv) .

(23) In this sense, reactions with ortho-OMe and ortho-Br-substituted vinyl sulfones were also unsuccessful.

(24) The use of Raney-Ni for the chiral auxiliary removal (cleavage of Ar-SOTol bond) from the adducts of sulfinylimines with Li - (S) - 1 was unsuccessful (see ref 15).

(25) Nájera, C.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 55, 10547.

(26) The treatment with ethyl chloroformate allowed the introduction of the ethoxycarbonyl moiety in a quantitative yield, but the attempt of sulfone removal with Mg in methanol provoked partial transesterification to the methyl ester derivative.

(27) CCDC-856971 contains the supplementary crystallographic data of compound 3e. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac. uk/data_request/cif.

(28) Reaction with chalcone (2 equiv) as the Michael acceptor, in THF at −78 °C, afforded a 2:1 mixture of 1,2 and [1,4-adducts, both](www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) [being obtained as a](www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) 60:40 diastereoisomeric mixture.

(29) The stabilization of the fluorinated benzylic lithium derivative determines the complete configurational integrity of the fluorinated stereogenic center as has been demonstrated (see Scheme 2 in ref 15).

(30) Attempts of desulfinylation of ester adducts $12c + 12'c$ and $12k$ by treatment with t-BuLi (2.2 equiv) in THF resulted in complex reaction mixtures.

(31) The formation of this species is predicted by theoretical calculations: Arroyo, Y.; Meana, A.; Sanz-Tejedor, M. A.; Alonso, I.; García Ruano, J. L. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9874.

(32) (a) Vinyl sulfones were prepared following: Mauleón, P.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4961. (b) Vinylesters were prepared following: Claridge, T. D. W.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Nicholson, R. L.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Smith, A. D.; Toms, S. M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5437.